## **EXHIBIT H**

```
Page 1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
             FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
2
3
4
    GLOBEFILL INCORPORATED,
                 Plaintiff,
5
                                       ) No. 10-CV-2034 CBM (PLAx)
6
         vs.
     ELEMENTS SPIRITS, INC. AND KIM
7
     BRANDI,
8
                 Defendants.
10
11
                   DEPOSITION OF BRUCE ISAACSON
12
                      LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
13
14
                      MONDAY, APRIL 22, 2013
15
16
17
18 ...
19
20
21
22
     REPORTED BY:
     LESLIE L. WHITE
     CSR NO. 4148
23
     JOB NO.: 60554
24
25
```

```
Page 2
 1
 2
                   Monday, April 22, 2013
 3
                           9:54 a.m.
 4
 5
 б
                Deposition of BRUCE ISAACSON, held
 7
      at 12100 Wilshire Boulevard, 15th Floor, Los
 8
      Angeles, California, before Leslie L. White,
 9
10
      CSR No. 4148.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	·
	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	BAKER & HOSTETLER
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff
5	12100 Wilshire Boulevard
6	Los Angeles, California 90025
7	BY: C. DENNIS LOOMIS, ESQ.
8	NATE:
9	-AND-
10	BAKER & HOSTETLER
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff
12	1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
13	Washington, D.C. 20036
14	BY: KELU L. SULLIVAN, ESQ.
15	
16	
17	HOLMES WEINBERG
18	Attorneys for Defendant Elements
19	Spirits, Inc.
. 20	30765 Pacific Coast Highway
21	Malibu, California 90265
22	BY: STEVEN M. WEINBERG, ESQ.
23	DAVID TASHROUDIAN, ESQ.
24	
25	

Page 96 So what were the results of the Eveready 1 2 survey you ran? There was very little confusion in the Α 3 Eveready survey. 4 And what question did you ask in the 5 Eveready survey of the respondents that were shown a 6 bottle of the Kah Blanco? 7 MR. LOOMIS: Now I need -- was your immediately prior question about the Eveready study in this 9 10 case, not the Monster? MR. WEINBERG: Yeah, his pilot. 11 MR. LOOMIS: I thought you were still on 12 13 Monster Beverage. 14 BY MR. WEINBERG: Just to clarify, what were the results of 15 the Eveready pilot survey that you ran in this case? 16 It would be the same as my prior answer. Α 17 They showed very little confusion with Crystal Head. 18 And what level of confusion was there? 19 Q I don't remember specifically. 20 Did you use a control? 21 Q Yes. 22 Α And what was the control that you used? 23 Q It would have been one of the controls Α 24 that I used in this case, and I don't recall which 25

Page 97 1 one. MR. WEINBERG: And you have produced, Counsel, 2 all of the documents, including the tabulations in 3 that case? MS. SULLIVAN: All of them were produced in 5 response to the second Subpoena. And I think there 6 is one more document that might have either been 7 produced last week by e-mail to you or it's on a 8 disc that is going to come to you today. 9 BY MR. WEINBERG: 10 When was the pilot study run? 11 In December of 2012. 12 And with who did you discuss the result of 13 Q the pilot study at Baker Hostetler? 14 With Kelu Sullivan and with John Weber. 15 Α And as a result of the results in that 16 0 pilot study, what decisions, if any, were made? 17 Well, I recommended that, once we 18 Α completed the pilot, that something was wrong, and 19 20 that we shouldn't do any more interviews on the Eveready side. 21 So was the intention to run a full 22 0 Eveready study, and then kill it if you weren't 23 getting the results that you had hoped for? 24 25 No, that was not the intention. Α

Page 178 visited, can you make a prediction as to what exists 1 in the 40,000-plus liquor stores in the United 2 3 States? I am not interested in the 40,000-plus Α liquor stores in the United States. I'm interested 5 in the liquor stores that are most important to 6 That was where this was focused, so Crystal Head. 7. 8 no. MR. WEINBERG: Okay, take a break. 9 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you. (A recess was taken from 11 2:41 p.m. to 2:47 p.m.) 12 BY MR. WEINBERG: 13 In the scenario that you have posited in 14 0 paragraph 29 where someone sees a Crystal Head and 15 then encounters a Kah, that scenario includes the 16 situations where people may decide before they get 17 to their tequila that they are going to look at the 18 scotches, they are going to look at cordials, they 19 are going to look at other kinds of spirits that may 20 be on shelves between the Crystal Head and the Kah; 21 is that right? 22 I am sorry, what are you asking? 23 Α Do you understand the question? 24 Q No, I don't understand. I'm sorry. 25 Α

Page 179 Give it to you in a hypothetical. 1 2 might be easier. I am going to go shopping for premium 3 tequila, okay, and I go into a liquor, and I see a 4 bottle of Crystal Head. And then I'm also on that 5 shopping trip looking to stock up my bar, and I 6 see -- I buy a vodka right next to that or single 7 malt Scotches, I pick up a single malt Scotch. 8 see an after-dinner drink cordial that also would go in my bar, I pick that up, and then I got to Kah 10 tequila. 11 When you say that -- is that kind of 12 situation possible, under the construct that you set 13 up for this survey? 14 MR. LOOMIS: Under the what? 15 BY MR. WEINBERG: 16 "Construct." 17 0 Do you understand the question? I'll make 18 it even easier. 19 Does your survey test someone who has seen 20 Crystal Head and immediately buys Kah tequila or 21 someone who sees Crystal Head and eventually that 22 day or some other day purchases Kah tequila? 23 I think what you're asking me is is there 24 an intermediate stimulus between the exposure to 25

Page 180

- 1 Crystal Head and the exposure to the line up, and
- 2 the answer obviously is no to that question.
- 3 Q So your situation does not contemplate
- 4 someone seeing Crystal Head and then shopping for
- 5 other spirits and then encountering Kah; is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A I haven't measured that. I don't know
- 8 what you mean by the word "does not contemplate,"
- 9 but I don't know whether or not that would be the
- 10 same or whether or not that would be different to
- 11 what I measured in my survey.
- 12 Q So your survey, as I understand your
- 13 survey, measures: I see Crystal Head, and then
- 14 within seconds I counter Kah tequila, amongst other
- 15 tequilas; is that correct -- amongst other premium
- 16 tequilas?
- 17 A I think you're artificially looking to
- 18 limit, or the way the statement was phrased -- I
- 19 don't know if you're looking to do it, but the way
- 20 the statement was phrased limits in a way that I
- 21 don't know that I agree with the consumer behavior
- 22 or the consumer process that we're describing here.
- We have an exposure to Crystal Head -- in
- 24 this admittedly simplified version of the universe,
- 25 we have an exposure to Crystal Head, and then they

Page 181 have exposure to a line up that includes Kah, amidst 1 2: other tequilas. Amidst other premium tequilas. 3 Q Amidst other premium and super premium tequilas; that's correct. 5 And the exposure that I tested, for 6 purposes of simplicity for the survey, was to test a 7 direct exposure of the Crystal Head, then the line 8 9 up. That doesn't mean that it doesn't 10 contemplate any other scenario, and that doesn't 11 mean that it doesn't not contemplate any other 12 scenario. 13 14 But there is other line up studies that have been conducted that use this kind of a 15 simplification of real-world events, and I don't 16 want to imply in any way that it doesn't necessarily 17 contemplate these other kinds of scenarios that 18 19 you're discussing. But you didn't test those other scenarios; 20 21 is that correct? That's correct, I did not test them. 22 Α And based on materials that you provided 23 0 to us, it's your understanding that there are 24 consumers who consume tequila and vodka and Scotch 25

```
Page 182
     and cordials and a variety of spirits; isn't that
 1
     right?
 2
          Α
               That's correct.
 3
               And, for example -- why don't we mark
 4
          Q
     these while we're waiting. Exhibit 19 is a
 5
     multi-page document that starts with G323.
 6
 7
               (Exhibit 19 was marked for
               identification by the Reporter.)
 8
                       Is it consecutive to the end?
 9
          MR. LOOMIS:
          MR. WEINBERG: Yes, this is 19.
10
11
          MR. LOOMIS: But 323, 324, 325? Got it.
          MR. WEINBERG: Let's mark as 20 a multi-page
12
     document, starting with 233, ending in 236.
13
               (Exhibit 20 was marked for
14
               identification by the Reporter.)
15
          MR. WEINBERG: And as 21 let's mark as a
16
     multi-page document with consecutive pages,
17
     beginning with G12.
18
19
               (Exhibit 21 was marked for
20
               identification by the Reporter.)
     BY MR. WEINBERG:
21
22
          Q
               Dr. Isaacson, these exhibits we have just
     marked 19 to 21 were produced by your counsel as
23
     part of the production relating to your Subpoenas.
24
               Do you recognize these documents?
25
```

		Page	302
1	* * *		
2			
3			
4	I, DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE		
5	FOREGOING IS AN ACCURATE TRANSCRIPTION OF MY		
6	TESTIMONY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,		
7 .	EXECUTED ON THE,		
8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
9			
10			
11			
12	BRUCE ISAACSON		•
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20		•	
21			
22			
23			
24			,
25			

		Page	303
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE		
2	OF		
3	CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER		
4			
5	* * * * *		
6			
7			
8	I, THE UNDERSIGNED CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER, IN		
9	AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY:		
10	THAT THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS WERE TAKEN BEFORE ME		
11	AT THE TIME AND PLACE THEREIN SET FORTH, AT WHICH		
12	TIME THE WITNESS WAS PUT UNDER OATH BY ME; THAT THE		
13	TESTIMONY OF THE WITNESS AND ALL OBJECTIONS AT THE		
14	TIME OF THE PROCEEDINGS WERE RECORDED		
15	STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND WERE THEREAFTER		
16	TRANSCRIBED UNDER MY DIRECTION; THAT THE FOREGOING	r	
17	IS A TRUE RECORD OF THE TESTIMONY AND OF ALL		
18	OBJECTIONS MADE AT THE TIME OF THE PROCEEDINGS.		
19			
20			
21	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SUBSCRIBED MY NAME ON:		
22	DAME: 7		
23	DATE: April 29, 2013		•
24			
25	LESLIE L. WHITE, CSR NO. 4148		

		Pao	e 304			
1	NAME OF CASE: Globefill Incorporat	_				
2	DATE OF DEPOSITION: 4/22/13					
3	NAME OF WITNESS: Bruce Isaacson					
4	Reason Codes:	·				
5	<ol> <li>To clarify the record.</li> <li>To conform to the facts</li> </ol>					
6	3. To correct transcription					
7	·					
8	Page Line Reaso	on				
9	From to					
10	Page Line Reaso	on				
11	From to					
12	Page Line Reason	on				
13	From to					
14	Page Line Reaso					
15	From to					
16	Page Line Reaso	on				
17		·				
18	Page Line Reaso	on				
19	From to					
20	Page Line Reas	on				
21	From to					
22	•					
23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
24	BR	UCE ISAACSON				
25		•				